14th May 2014 Planning Committee – Additional Representations

Page	Site Address	Application No.	Comment
17	Dorothy Stringer High School, Loder Road, Brighton	BH2014/00697	14 Redvers Road, 26 Ashford Road, Flat 7, 6 Oriental Place, 98 Balfour Road, 61 Osborne Road, 152 Waller Road, London, 119 Loder Road <u>object</u> on the following grounds:
			Light pollution.
			Loss of ancient trees.
			Impact on wildlife.Impact on sleep and recreational activities.
			 Impact on sleep and recreational activities. Increase noise.
			 Increase parking.
			 Inappropriate hours of use.
			 Query over effectiveness of noise impact survey.
			Officer response: No material planning considerations have been raised which are not addressed in the report.
			Correction : The committee report refers to the proposed floodlights being 15m high. The applicant has clarified that the floodlights would be 12m high.
43	Woollards Field Lewes Road, Brighton	BH2014/00301	County Archaeologist: <u>Comment</u> Although this application is situated within an Archaeological Notification Area, do not believe that any significant archaeological remains are likely to be affected by these proposals. The site was subject to archaeological evaluation excavation as part of The Keep development which showed that the only interesting archaeological remains that survived on the site were very deep. For this reason have no further recommendations to make in this instance.
			Officer response: In view of County Archaeologist's comments it is considered that condition 19 which requires the submission of a written Scheme of Archaeological Investigation is no longer deemed necessary and should be removed from the recommendation.
			Neighbour: 11 Ringmer Drive: object. Detailed comments are as follows:

 Further noise pollution in addition to the A27, Lewes Road traffic chaos and Railway line.
 Concerned that there will not be enough car parking spaces for the staff as this area is already strained with regards to parking especially from the Amex Stadium.
• Site is in close proximity to a children's park, concerned that development will be noisy or dangerous for children using the park and that there will be overlooking.
• There is enough development in the area as it is, Amex, universities, the Keep, Baca, Falmer Station, Southern Water, etc that is a lot of bulidings in a small area and all that extra traffic along with all that extra noise that comes with them makes living here very difficult.
Officer response: No material planning considerations have been raised which are not addressed in the report.
Aboriculturist: <u>Comment.</u> The information submitted on drawing LLD649/02 (Tree Retention and Protecting Plan) is sufficient and therefore would be happy for the specific condition regarding tree protection to be omitted.
Officer response: In view of the Aboriculturist's additional comments it is considered that condition 11 which requires the submission of a scheme for the protection of trees is no longer deemed necessary and should be removed from the recommendation and the drawing number added to condition 2.
S106 amendment: Following negotiation with the applicant it is recommended that the Public Art contribution be removed from the heads of terms. This is on the basis that the applicant has agreed to use more costly zinc cladding on the development, to improve the visual appearance of the building, rather than their preferred option of aluminium, which has been used on other SECAmb sites.

NB. Representations received after midday the Friday before the date of the Committee meeting will not be reported (Sub-Committee resolution of 23 February 2005).